

LSL Burden vs SRF Allotment

Funding for lead service line replacement should be distributed by estimated national share of lead service lines. As the below table shows, SRF allotment is a bad proxy for lead service line burden. States highlighted in red would receive less under SRF allocation than on a per service line funding model.

State	# LSLs	Total Funding (# LSLs) ¹	Total Funding (SRF Allotment) ²	Change (\$Million)
Illinois	730,000	\$1,791.9	\$565.5	-\$1,226.4
Ohio	650,000	\$1,595.5	\$376.5	-\$1,219.0
Michigan	460,000	\$1,129.1	\$367.5	-\$761.6
New York	360,000	\$883.7	\$612.0	-\$271.7
New Jersey	350,000	\$859.1	\$256.5	-\$602.6
Missouri	330,000	\$810.0	\$264.0	-\$546.0
Indiana	290,000	\$711.9	\$229.5	-\$482.4
Texas	270,000	\$662.8	\$1,176.0	\$513.2
Minnesota	260,000	\$638.2	\$229.5	-\$408.7
Wisconsin	240,000	\$589.1	\$255.0	-\$334.1
Massachusetts	220,000	\$540.0	\$348.0	-\$192.0
Florida	200,000	\$490.9	\$591.0	\$100.1
Iowa	160,000	\$392.7	\$237.0	-\$155.7
Kansas	160,000	\$392.7	\$174.0	-\$218.7
Pennsylvania	160,000	\$392.7	\$462.0	\$69.3
Nebraska	97,000	\$238.1	\$150.0	-\$88.1
Virginia	97,000	\$238.1	\$244.5	\$6.4
Georgia	86,000	\$211.1	\$354.0	\$142.9
North Carolina	82,000	\$201.3	\$460.5	\$259.2
Maryland	74,000	\$181.6	\$274.5	\$92.9
Tennessee	74,000	\$181.6	\$261.0	\$79.4

¹ #LSLs calculated based on estimates from Cornwell, D.A., Brown, R.A. and Via, S.H. (2016), National Survey of Lead Service Line Occurrence. Journal - American Water Works Association, 108: E182-E191. <https://doi.org/10.5942/jawwa.2016.108.0086>. Estimates for each state were derived from the following calculation: (number of estimated lead services lines/total US lead service lines) x \$15 billion.

² [2017 - 2021 Allotment of Federal Funds for States, Tribes, and Territories | US EPA](#). Estimates for each state were derived from the following calculation: (SRF allotment percentage) x \$15 billion.

California	65,000	\$159.6	\$1,323.0	\$1,163.4
Alabama	63,000	\$154.6	\$324.0	\$169.4
Colorado	58,000	\$142.4	\$297.0	\$154.6
Louisiana	56,000	\$137.5	\$225.0	\$87.5
Kentucky	53,000	\$130.1	\$247.5	\$117.4
Oklahoma	48,000	\$117.8	\$213.0	\$95.2
South Carolina	44,000	\$108.0	\$195.0	\$87.0
Connecticut	43,000	\$105.6	\$150.0	\$44.4
Arkansas	40,000	\$98.2	\$225.0	\$126.8
Mississippi	29,000	\$71.2	\$162.0	\$90.8
Washington	27,000	\$66.3	\$334.5	\$268.2
New Mexico	26,000	\$63.8	\$150.0	\$86.2
Utah	23,000	\$56.5	\$150.0	\$93.5
New Hampshire	20,000	\$49.1	\$150.0	\$100.9
Rhode Island	20,000	\$49.1	\$150.0	\$100.9
West Virginia	20,000	\$49.1	\$150.0	\$100.9
Delaware	16,000	\$39.3	\$150.0	\$110.7
Maine	15,000	\$36.8	\$150.0	\$113.2
Oregon	14,000	\$34.4	\$198.0	\$163.6
Arizona	12,000	\$29.5	\$270.0	\$240.5
Montana	10,000	\$24.5	\$150.0	\$125.5
South Dakota	10,000	\$24.5	\$150.0	\$125.5
District of Columbia	8,900	\$21.8	\$150.0	\$128.2
North Dakota	8,200	\$20.1	\$150.0	\$129.9
Vermont	7,400	\$18.2	\$150.0	\$131.8
Wyoming	6,300	\$15.5	\$150.0	\$134.5
Idaho	6,200	\$15.2	\$150.0	\$134.8
Nevada	5,200	\$12.8	\$174.0	\$161.2
Alaska	3,800	\$9.3	\$150.0	\$140.7
Hawaii	2,800	\$6.9	\$150.0	\$143.1